

Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers in southern flounder, *Paralichthys lethostigma*

Mark A. Renshaw · Ivonne R. Blandon ·
John R. Gold

Received: 9 July 2012 / Accepted: 17 July 2012 / Published online: 31 July 2012
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2012

Abstract Thirty-six dinucleotide microsatellite markers were isolated from an enriched genomic library of southern flounder, *Paralichthys lethostigma*. Genotypes at all 36 microsatellites conformed to Hardy–Weinberg expectations, following Bonferroni correction for multiple tests executed simultaneously; analysis with MICRO-CHECKER indicated the possibility of null alleles at two of the microsatellites. The microsatellites characterized in this study will be useful for further evaluation of southern flounder stock structure and for assaying potential genetic impacts of stock enhancement programs.

Keywords Microsatellites · *Paralichthys lethostigma* · Southern flounder

Southern flounder, *Paralichthys lethostigma*, supports important recreational and commercial fisheries in the southeastern United States (Froeschke et al. 2011; Smith and Scharf 2010). Prior genetic studies employing allozymes (Blandon et al. 2001) and sequences of mitochondrial DNA (Anderson et al. 2012) have indicated separate stocks of southern flounder in the Gulf of Mexico and along the Atlantic coast. Because of declining stock sizes, restoration via stock enhancement of southern flounder is currently under consideration or in progress in several US states

(Miller et al. 2010). Here, we report the development of primers for 36 microsatellites from an enriched southern flounder genomic DNA library. Genetic markers such as microsatellites provide fisheries managers with tools that can be used to assess stock structure, assay genetic variability within stocks, and to evaluate the genetic impacts of stock enhancement (Blankenship and Leber 1995; Ward 2000; Kohlmann et al. 2003; Saillant et al. 2009).

Protocols used to generate the microsatellite-motif enriched library followed procedures outlined in Renshaw et al. (2010). Genomic DNA was extracted from muscle tissue of a single individual, using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen). The hybridization mixture of size-selected genomic DNA/linker fragments and 3'-biotin-modified (CA)₁₃ oligonucleotides was heated to 95 °C for 10 min and then kept at 58 °C for 75 min. Positive (white) clones were picked with sterile toothpicks, placed in 96-well culture plates with 200 µl LB broth (containing 50 µg/ml ampicillin and 8 % glycerol), and incubated overnight at 37 °C to increase the density of all cultures. Two culture plates were sent to the Interdisciplinary Center for Biotechnology Research at the University of Florida (<http://www.biotech.ufl.edu>) for sequencing with the M13 forward primer. Sequences were edited and vectors trimmed with SEQUENCHER 4.1 (Gene Codes); clones containing viable microsatellite motifs were identified with Simple Sequence Repeat Identification Tool (SSRIT, <http://www.graene.org/db/markers/ssrtool>); primer pairs were developed with PRIMER3 (<http://frodo.wi.mit.edu>).

A total of 67 unlabeled primer pairs were ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, Iowa); the forward primers included a 21 bp 5'-tail-sequence (5'-GCCTCGTTTATCAGATGTGGA-3') that enabled the fluorescent labeling of fragments during PCR amplifications (Karlsson et al. 2008). The 5'-tail-sequence primer was labeled with one of three fluorescent dyes: 6-FAM, HEX,

M. A. Renshaw (✉) · J. R. Gold
Center for Biosystematics and Biodiversity, Texas A & M
University, College Station, TX 77843-2258, USA
e-mail: mrenshaw@nd.edu

I. R. Blandon
CCA Marine Development Center, Texas Parks and Wildlife
Department, 4300 Waldron Road, Corpus Christi,
TX 78418, USA

Table 1 Summary data for 36 microsatellites characterized in southern flounder, *Paralichthys lethostigma*

Microsatellite	Primer sequence (5'–3') ^a	GenBank ^b	Repeat ^c	Clone size ^d	N/N _A ^e	Size range ^f	H _F /H _D ^g	P _{HW} ^h
<i>Ple1</i>	CCCTGGCCCTCTGTAAGC ^{HEX} GAATATTCAGCACATGGAAGC	JQ935980	(CA) ₁₁	172	20/19	185–243	0.945/0.950	0.333
<i>Ple2</i>	TGTGAGGGACAGAGAGACTGG ^{NED} CAGGCAGTCAACCTCCTACC	JQ935981	(CA) ₂₄	195	18/23	195–259	0.975/1.000	1.000
<i>Ple3</i>	TCTTCGGGATCAGAACCAAC ^{FAM} AGACAGTGCTGGGAAGAACG	JQ935982	(CA) ₂₃	257	20/21	245–323	0.963/0.900	0.238
<i>Ple5</i>	AGTCTCCTGGGTCACAGTGC ^{FAM} ATGGGCCATTTTTATGATGC	JQ935983	(CA) ₉	269	20/7	283–295	0.665/0.450	0.135
<i>Ple8</i>	TCTGCCGTCGTTTTATCAGC ^{FAM} TGTTTTACAAAAACATTGACG	JQ935984	(GT) ₂₆	260	19/10	255–289	0.896/0.895	0.798
<i>Ple10</i>	GACAGGGAGATGGGAAAGG ^{NED} TTGAGCGACTCAACAACAGC	JQ935985	(CA) ₁₇	224	18/19	235–295	0.960/1.000	0.594
<i>Ple11</i>	GTAGCGCTGTTGTTGAGTCG ^{NED} ACCCACTAATGAAGCCAACG	JQ935986	(GT) ₉	225	18/6	241–255	0.787/0.611	0.076
<i>Ple12</i>	TCCAGGTGGTCACAGAGAGG ^{NED} GTGTGTTGCAGATGGAGACG	JQ935987	(CA) ₈	208	19/2	227–229	0.478/0.526	1.000
<i>Ple15</i>	TCACAGATGAGCTGCATTCC ^{NED} CTGTATGCTTCCAAAAGATATGG	JQ935988	(TG) ₃₂	221	19/18	217–275	0.957/1.000	0.428
<i>Ple18</i>	TGTATGGCTCAACAAGACAGC ^{FAM} TTTCACGCACAAACCTTACG	JQ935989	(AC) ₂₂	298	19/19	288–398	0.946/1.000	1.000
<i>Ple20</i>	TGCTGCAAACATGTAAGG ^{HEX} GAAGGAGTATGATGGCTCAAGG	JQ935990	(AC) ₈	147	20/3	165–169	0.272/0.300	1.000
<i>Ple22</i>	TCCCTCGCCATTCTAAATCC ^{HEX} AAGTGGAGAAATGAAGCTTGG	JQ935991	(AC) ₈	195	18/7	211–223	0.806/0.833	0.728
<i>Ple26</i>	CCGTGTGTCCTTGTAGAGC ^{NED} CTCCCTTTTTCCACTGTCC	JQ935992	(TG) ₇	269	18/4	292–312	0.344/0.278	0.354
<i>Ple27</i>	GAAGTGCCGACTCAAGTGC ^{FAM} TCCCCTCTTACATCTCAGC	JQ935993	(AC) ₉	254	19/12	270–320	0.778/0.895	0.655
<i>Ple28</i>	AAACCAAGCCCTCAAAAAGC ^{NED} GTGGCTTCTGAAGTGCATCC	JQ935994	(CA) ₃₂	231	18/18	215–271	0.951/0.944	0.672
<i>Ple34</i>	CATGGCTTATCCCTCTCTGC ^{HEX} CTGACTGATCCTCCTGTCTGC	JQ935995	(CT) ₂₀	117	20/17	132–166	0.927/0.950	0.572
<i>Ple35</i>	TGTATTTGCACACCCACTGC ^{NED} CTGCTCCAATTCAGACTGC	JQ935996	(GT) ₇	245	19/5	259–269	0.538/0.474	0.538
<i>Ple37</i>	GGTAATTTCCAGCCTGTTGC ^{NED} CCTGAAGCTCAGTGTTCG	JQ935997	(GT) ₁₁	230	20/14	246–284	0.887/0.800	0.263
<i>Ple38</i>	TCCAGATTGTGACACACAG ^{HEX} TCTGATCAGTCCCGCTTAGG	JQ935998	(CA) ₁₁	166	18/7	184–202	0.748/0.722	0.316
<i>Ple40</i>	CGCCACATAACAATTGGAG ^{HEX} TTCATTCCTGTCTGTAACCTGTG	JQ935999	(AC) ₉	182	20/5	199–209	0.627/0.600	0.502
<i>Ple41</i>	TCTGGTGAAGTGAACACTACATGG ^{HEX} GATGACAGCTGGGTGATGG	JQ936000	(AC) ₇	89	20/17	109–175	0.890/0.850	0.473
<i>Ple43</i>	TCACGACACGACTCTACAAAGG ^{HEX} CACAGAAAAACCTGAAACAACC	JQ936001	(GT) ₂₂	113	20/14	116–172	0.746/0.800	0.410
<i>Ple44</i>	TGAATGTGCATGAACACAAGC ^{NED} CGTATGTCTCTTTGTCTGTTTGC	JQ936002	(AG) ₁₉	210	18/23	215–273	0.975/0.944	0.408
<i>Ple46</i>	TCGTGACATATGTTTTGAACAGC ^{HEX} AATTCAGCCAGCCTCTATGC	JQ936003	(GT) ₉	122	20/16	143–207	0.935/0.900	0.238

Table 1 continued

Microsatellite	Primer sequence (5′–3′) ^a	GenBank ^b	Repeat ^c	Clone size ^d	N/N _A ^e	Size range ^f	H _E /H _O ^g	P _{HW} ^h
<i>Ple50</i>	TTTCCACTCCTCTCCTGTGC ^{HEX} CATGTGACCAAGTAAAGAGATGG	JQ936004	(GT) ₂₇	109	20/21	102–160	0.964/0.950	0.576
<i>Ple52</i>	GGGAGGGTGAGTGGTGAGAG ^{HEX} TTGCCATGAAATGTAGATGC	JQ936005	(GA) ₂₆	102	20/25	97–159	0.971/0.950	0.485
<i>Ple53</i>	TTTCATGGCAATTACAAACAGC ^{HEX} TCCCTTTGTTCAGTCTTCC	JQ936006	(GT) ₉	107	20/11	122–148	0.882/0.900	0.436
<i>Ple55</i>	CGCAGAAACTCACACAAACC ^{NED} AAGTCAGTCTGAGGCGATGG	JQ936007	(GT) ₈	174	19/6	188–202	0.555/0.526	0.588
<i>Ple56</i>	GGAGAGGCTTTGTGAAGAAGG ^{FAM} GGAGTTTCACATGAGCAAGG	JQ936008	(CA) ₂₄	230	19/13	198–262	0.908/0.947	0.892
<i>Ple57</i>	GAATTACACACAAAATGCTGTCC ^{NED} CTGGCTCAGAGTCAATGAGG	JQ936009	(AC) ₁₂	204	20/14	218–246	0.903/1.000	0.159
<i>Ple58</i>	ACATCACGTGGTGAAGATGC ^{FAM} TAAGGCTAAATGGGCTGAGG	JQ936010	(AC) ₃₁	245	20/16	217–271	0.885/0.950	0.882
<i>Ple60</i>	GGCTGGACAGAGTAACACTCG ^{NED} GCTACAATGCAAAGCAAAGG	JQ936011	(GT) ₈	207	19/8	225–243	0.674/0.474	0.018
<i>Ple61</i>	TCCATGAAACACACATATCTTGC ^{NED} CTTGAGCATGTGCAAAATGG	JQ936012	(AC) ₁₅	186	18/16	190–240	0.930/0.889	0.610
<i>Ple62</i>	TCCCATTCAAAGGGTCTTC ^{FAM} CCAGCAGAGCTTTTTGTGTG	JQ936013	(CA) ₃₄	217	20/21	200–264	0.945/0.900	0.061
<i>Ple63</i>	GTGTGAAGAGGGCTCAGTGG ^{FAM} AGGAGACGCATCATCAGACC	JQ936014	(GT) ₁₂	219	17/14	239–319	0.904/0.824	0.535
<i>Ple64</i>	CATGCACTGGAGGTTGCTAA ^{NED} ACAGCTGGCTTCACCCATAA	JQ936015	(CA) ₂₂	188	20/17	203–249	0.946/1.000	0.918

^a Primer sequences are forward (top) and reverse (bottom)

^b GenBank Accession number

^c Repeat motif

^d Size (in base pairs) of the allele in the sequenced clone

^e N is the number of individuals assayed, N_A is the number of alleles identified

^f Size range for alleles thus far detected (includes the 21 bp 5′-tail-sequence)

^g H_E is the expected heterozygosity, H_O is the observed heterozygosity

^h P_{HW} is the probability of deviation from Hardy–Weinberg expectations. The fluorescent 5′-tail-sequence label attached to the forward (top) primer is noted as 6-FAM^{FAM}, NED^{NED}, or HEX^{HEX}

or NED (Set D, Applied Biosystems). Fin clips were collected from 20 individuals sampled from Sabine Lake, Texas. DNA was extracted using a modified Chelex protocol (Estoup et al. 1996). PCR protocols followed procedures outlined in Karlsson et al. (2008) with one exception. Concentrations for both the reverse and 5′-tail-sequence primer (0.5 μM) were the same as in Karlsson et al. (2008); the concentration of the tailed, forward primer here was 0.05 μM. PCR amplifications were electrophoresed on an ABI 377 DNA Sequencer. Alleles were sized using the GENESCAN[®] 3.1.2 and GENOTYPER[®] version 2.5 software. Genetic variability of the microsatellite loci was measured by the number of alleles, expected heterozygosity (gene diversity), and observed heterozygosity. Fisher's exact tests, as implemented in GDA (Lewis and Zaykin 2001),

were used to test for significance of departure from Hardy–Weinberg expectations at each microsatellite and for departure from genotypic equilibrium at pairs of microsatellites. MICRO-CHECKER (Van Oosterhout et al. 2004) was used to evaluate possible scoring errors at each marker due to stuttering, large allele dropout, and null alleles.

Of the initial 67 putative microsatellites identified, 36 primer pairs produced experimentally tractable amplifications (Table 1). The number of alleles ranged from two (*Ple12*) to 25 (*Ple52*); expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.272 (*Ple20*) to 0.975 (*Ple2*, *Ple44*), while observed heterozygosity ranged from 0.278 (*Ple26*) to 1.000 (*Ple2*, *Ple10*, *Ple15*, *Ple18*, *Ple57*, and *Ple64*). All individual microsatellites and microsatellite pairs conformed to Hardy–Weinberg expectations and genotypic equilibrium,

respectively, following Bonferroni correction for multiple tests (Rice 1989). Analysis with MICRO-CHECKER indicated two microsatellites (*Ple5* and *Ple60*) with possible null alleles, but no evidence of possible scoring errors due to stuttering or large allele dropout. The 36 microsatellite loci characterized in this study can be used to further evaluate stock structure in southern flounder as well as assay for potential genetic impacts of stock enhancement programs.

Acknowledgments We thank R. Riechers and R. Vega for their support and assistance in the project. Work was supported by the Coastal Fisheries Division of Texas Parks and Wildlife and by TexasAgriLife under Project H-6703. This paper is number 90 in the series 'Genetic Studies in Marine Fishes' and Contribution No. 214 of the Center for Biosystematics and Biodiversity at Texas A & M University.

References

- Anderson JD, Karel WJ, Mione ACS (2012) Population structure and evolutionary history of southern flounder in the Gulf of Mexico and western Atlantic Ocean. *Trans Am Fish Soc* 141:46–55
- Blandon IR, Ward R, King TL, Karel WJ, Monaghan JP (2001) Preliminary genetic population structure of southern flounder, *Paralichthys lethostigma*, along the Atlantic coast and Gulf of Mexico. *US Natl Mar Fish Serv Fish Bull* 99:671–678
- Blankenship HL, Leber KM (1995) A responsible approach to marine stock enhancement. *Am Fish Soc Symp* 15:167–175
- Estoup A, Larigiader CR, Perrot E, Chourrout D (1996) Rapid one tube DNA extraction for reliable PCR detection of fish polymorphic markers and transgenes. *Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol* 5:295–298
- Froeschke BF, Sterba-Boatwright B, Stunz GW (2011) Assessing southern flounder (*Paralichthys lethostigma*) long-term population trends in the northern Gulf of Mexico using time series analyses. *Fish Res* 108:291–298
- Karlsson S, Renshaw MA, Rexroad CE, Gold JR (2008) PCR primers for 100 microsatellites in red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*). *Mol Ecol Resour* 8:393–398
- Kohlmann K, Gross R, Murakaeva A, Kersten P (2003) Genetic variability and structure of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) populations throughout the distribution range inferred from allozyme, microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA markers. *Aquat Living Resour* 16:421–431
- Lewis PO, Zaykin D (2001) Genetic data analysis: computer program for the analysis of allelic data. Version 1.0 (d16c). Free program distributed by the authors via the internet from <http://hydrodictyon.eeb.uconn.edu/people/plewis/software.php>
- Miller JM, Vega R, Yamashita Y (2010) Stock enhancement of southern and summer flounder. In: Daniels HV, Watanabe WO (eds) *Practical Flatfish Culture and Stock Enhancement*. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp 205–215
- Renshaw MA, Portnoy DS, Gold JR (2010) PCR primers for nuclear-encoded microsatellites of the groupers *Cephalopholis fulva* (coney) and *Epinephelus guttatus* (red hind). *Conserv Genet* 11:1197–1202
- Rice WR (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* 43:223–225
- Saillant E, Renshaw MA, Gatlin DM, Neill WH, Vega RR, Gold JR (2009) An experimental assessment of genetic tagging and founder representation in hatchery-reared red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*) used in stock enhancement. *J Appl Ichthyol* 25:108–113
- Smith WE, Scharf FS (2010) Demographic characteristics of southern flounder, *Paralichthys lethostigma*, harvested by an estuarine gillnet fishery. *Fish Manage Ecol* 17:532–543
- Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Mol Ecol Notes* 4:535–538
- Ward RD (2000) Genetics in fisheries management. *Hydrobiologia* 420:191–201